

1 DR MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,
2
3
4
5
6

7 No. C 05-1010 MMC (MEJ)
8
9

10 Plaintiff(s),
11
12 vs.
13


14 **ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' UPDATED
15 PRIVILEGE LOGS**

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
17
18 Defendant(s).
19 _____ /
20
21
22

23 The Court is in receipt of the parties' Joint Letter Regarding Plaintiffs' Updated Privilege
24 Logs. (Doc. #45). In the request, Defendant argues that Plaintiffs have improperly redacted certain
25 attorney invoices. Plaintiffs respond that they only redacted the narrative description of the
26 invoices. The Court finds that such redaction is permissible. Accordingly, Plaintiffs shall serve on
27 Defendant a declaration attesting that only the narrative description of the invoices were redacted,
28 and that such redaction is in compliance with the restrictions of *Clarke v. American Commerce Nat'l
Bank*, 974 F.2d 127 (9th Cir. 1993). If Defendant still contends that the redactions were improper,
the parties shall jointly choose a special master for the purpose of reviewing the invoices in camera,
with any expenses to be equally shared by the parties.

29 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

30
31 Dated: October 9, 2007
32
33
34

35 
36 MARIA-ELENA JAMES
37 United States Magistrate Judge
38